

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/930,235 02/23/1998		ANJA EITRICH	BEIERSDORF45	2748
7055 GREENBLUM	7590 11/02/2007 I & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C		EXAMINER	
1950 ROLANI	O CLARKE PLACE		METZMAIER, DANIEL S	
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DEL IVERY MODE
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/02/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

Application No. Application No. O9/930.235 ETRICH ET AL.	7						
Examiner Daniel S. Metzmaier Daniel S. Me	Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Daniel S. Metzmaier The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, RROW THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. WHICHEVER IS LONGED, RROW THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If NO period for repy is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for repy is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for repy is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for repy is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for repy is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for repy is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for repy is specified above, the maximum statutory reduce the period of the communication. If NO period for repy is specified above, the maximum statutory reduce the period of the provision of the maximum statutory reduced to the maximum statutory reduced and statutory reduced and statutory for reduced the period will apply for reduced and statutory for reduced the period will apply for reduced the period wil			08/930,235	EITRICH ET AL.			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the proximism of 370 FR 113(6). Into event, investment, the provision of 170 FR 113(6). Into event, investment, the provision of 170 FR 113(6). Into event, investment, the provision of 170 FR 113(6). Into event, investment, the provision of 170 FR 113(6). Into event, investment, the provision of 170 FR 113(6). Into event, investment, the provision of 170 FR 113(6). In event, because, the specification is become ARANDONED (3) U.S. € 13(3). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication. even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patter them adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(6). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17. August 2007. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 12-17.19.20.23.24.26.32.33.35-37 and 41-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 12-17.19.20.23.24.26.32.33.35-37 and 41-47 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 12-17.19.20.23.24.26.32.33.35-37 and 41-47 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority un			Examiner	Art Unit			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE § MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.139(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.139(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled. If NO period for reply is spatial above, the maximum statutory period us application to become ABANCONED (35 U.S. 0. § 133). Any reply recibing the set or extended above, the maximum statutor period us application to become ABANCONED (35 U.S. 0. § 133). Any reply recibing the set or extended patient above. The maximum statutor period us application to become ABANCONED (35 U.S. 0. § 133). Any reply recibing the set or extended patient form adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 17 August 2007. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 12-17,19.20.23.24.26.32.33.35-37 and 41-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The proving the proving the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowled							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be variable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 1369.] In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the mainman shalloty period will apply and will reply set (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient belowers AMANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient belowers AMANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 August 2007. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3i) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 12-17.19.20.23.24.26.32.33.35-37 and 41-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)			ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 August 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 12-17.19.20.23.24.26.32.33.35-37 and 41-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12-17.19.20.23.24.26.32.33.35-37 and 41-47 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * o) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 12-17.19.20.23.24.26.32.33.35-37 and 41-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12-17.19.20.23.24.26.32.33.35-37 and 41-47 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status						
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 12-17.19.20.23.24.26.32.33.35-37 and 41-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 12-17.19.20.23.24.26.32.33.35-37 and 41-47 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 August 2007</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 12-17.19.20.23.24.26,32.33.35-37 and 41-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) 12-17.19.20.23.24.26,32.33.35-37 and 41-47 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some *c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 12-17.19.20.23.24.26.32.33.35-37 and 41-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 12-17.19.20.23.24.26.32.33.35-37 and 41-47 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	3)	• •	•				
4) Claim(s) 12-17.19.20.23.24.26.32.33.35-37 and 41-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12-17.19.20.23.24.26.32.33.35-37 and 41-47 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 12-17.19.20.23.24.26.32.33.35-37 and 41-47 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in his National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	·			Evaminar			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	10)						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 12-17, 19-20, 23-24, 26, 32-33, 35-37 and 41-47 are pending.

Specification

1. The use of the trademark Eusolex® 232 has been noted in this application (example 9). It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner, which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Interpretation of the claims

2. The claims set forth cosmetic compositions as transparent or translucent oil-in-water microemulsions employing O/W polyalkoxylated emulsifiers with a total emulsifier content of less than 20 %, preferably less than 10 %, most preferably less than 8 % by weight. Said microemulsions are made by employing the phase inversion temperature method of making said microemulsion. The examples are exemplary rather than comparative.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 12-17, 19-20, 23-24, 26, 32-33 and 35-37 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The scope

Application/Control Number: 08/930,235 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

of the claims is indefinite since in claims 12 and 36, the oil phase is set forth with narrow transitional language, i.e., "consisting essentially of", and further set forth with open transitional language, i.e., "comprising".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 12-17, 19-20, 32-33, 35 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over McGee et al, US 5,585,343, in view of Hawley's Condensed Chemical Dictionary, entry Dibutyl Phthalate. McGee et al (examples and claim 4) disclose Low VOC perfume formulations as microemulsions for use in shower body splash.

McGee et al (claim 4; column 4, lines 48-50; and column 6, lines 1-3) discloses sodium laureth sulfate, which is a sodium lauryl ether sulfate as instantly disclosed as the polyethoxylated emulsifiers. McGee et al (column 5, line 29) clearly discloses the use of perfume oils, such as, hydrocarbons are suitable and (examples. McGee et al. discloses the use of both ethylene glycol monophenyl ether and fatty alcohols in the exemplified compositions. Said materials would have been expected to have W/O emulsifier properties, i.e., a low HLB.

McGee et al differs from the claims in the oil component as claimed. To the extent McGee et al lacks a disclosure of the claimed compositions in sufficient

Application/Control Number: 08/930,235

Art Unit: 1796

specificity as claimed, McGee et al clearly contemplates the sodium laureth sulfates, hydrocarbons and fatty alcohols.

McGee et al further (column 6, lines 43-59, particularly 59) discloses the perfumes may contain non-ethanolic diluents. Hawley's Condenced Chemical Dictionary discloses dibutyl phthalate as a solvent for perfumes.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of applicants' invention to employ the sodium laureth sulfate for the exemplified sodium lauryl sulfate in the McGee et al reference.

To the extent McGee et al <u>differs</u> from the claims in the further addition of cosmetic additives to said compositions or the exemplified or disclosed compositions with sufficiency of disclosure, McGee et al clearly discloses the use of cosmetic additives, hydrocarbon perfumes and the use of alkyl ether sulfates as claimed.

McGee et al (column 7, lines 40-46) discloses cosmetic additives reading on those claimed as commonly added formulating ingredients. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of applicants' invention to employ a conventional additives, hydrocarbon perfumes, and alkyl ether sulfates as taught in the McGee et al reference for their art recognized advantageous functions.

McGee et al (column 5, line 29) clearly discloses the use of perfume oils, such as, hydrocarbons are suitable. McGee et al discloses the use of both ethylene glycol monophenyl ether and fatty alcohols in the exemplified compositions. Said materials would have been expected to have W/O emulsifier properties, i.e., a low HLB.

Art Unit: 1796

9. Claims 12, 16-17, 20, 23-24, 26, 20-33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Henkel KGaA, DE 4,318,171, (especially Beispiele, Tabelle 1, PIT-Emulsionen, and abstract) as evidenced by Derwent Abstract, AN 1995-014884.

Henkel KGaA (abstract) discloses finely divided emulsions made by the phase inversion temperature method of making emulsions having utility in shampoos, bath soaps, shower preparations as cosmetic emulsions. The Henkel KGaA invention is directed to processes of making said emulsions having improved properties of reduced defatting of the skin and hair.

Henkel KGaA makes their microemulsions by the same phase inversion temperature method as applicants. Henkel KGaA further characterizes the emulsions as finely divided. This microemulsion property would have been expected to be inherent in the Henkel KGaA emulsions. The characterization as transparent and translucent Is a known characteristic of microemulsions.

Henkel KGaA (Table 1) disclose the use of decyl esters of oleic acids among

others. Henkel KGaA (Table 1) further disclose the use of glycerol monoesters of stearic acid and cetyl/stearyl alcohols, i.e., fatty alcohols of 8-30 carbon atoms.

10. Claims 12-17, 19-20, 23-24, 26, 32-33, 35-37 and 41-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Henkel KGaA, DE 4,318,171, (especially Beispiele, Tabelle 1, PIT-Emulsionen, and abstract) in view of Derwent Abstract, AN 1995-014884, Rosano, US 4,146,499; with Hoppe et al, US 4,839,165, Unilever PLC, EP 560 516, and/or The Procter & Gamble Company (P & G), EP 616 027. Henkel

Application/Control Number: 08/930,235

Art Unit: 1796

KGaA disclose microemulsions as set forth in the above rejection. Said basis is incorporated herein by reference.

Henkel KGaA and Schambil et al <u>differs</u> from the claims in the incorporation of conventional cosmetic ingredients.

Rosano (abstract and column 1, lines 6 et seq) discloses transparent microemulsions and methods of making said microemulsions. Rosano (column 5, lines 11 et seq) discloses the microemulsions may include materials for hair conditioning and skin emollients for use in shampoos, shaving creams and hand soaps. Shaving creams are well known to contain astringents. Rosano (column 5, lines 41 et seq) further discloses the incorporation of organic solvents as conventional ingredients. Rosano (column 9, lines 6-24) discloses the conventional use of at least perfumes or dyestuff in said microemulsions.

These references are combinable since said references teach transparent (i.e., clear) microemulsions having cosmetic use. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of applicants' invention to employ conventional cosmetic ingredients shown and/or implicit in the Rosano reference for their advantageous intended function known to those having ordinary skill in the cosmetic art.

To the extent the claims <u>differ</u> in particular emulsifiers employed, Hoppe et al (examples, particularly example 1, Akypo® RLM 150), Unilever (abstract; page 6, lines 5-8; and claims), and P & G (abstract; page 3, lines 1-10) disclose the use of alkyl ether sulfates or nonionic ethoxylates in combination or alternatively with alkyl ether carboxylates in cleaning and/or hair care compositions.

Application/Control Number: 08/930,235

Art Unit: 1796

These references are combinable because they teach cleaning compositions and particularly hair care compositions and the surface active agents suitable therefore. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of applicants' invention to employ the alkyl ether carboxylates for the alkyl ether sulfates or nonionic ethoxylates as functional equivalents known in the cleaning art at the time of applicants' invention.

Page 8

- 11. Claims 12, 16-17, 20, 23-24, 26, 20-33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Henkel KGaA, DE 4,337,041, (especially Beispiele, Tabelle 1, PIT-Emulsionen, and abstract) as evidenced by Derwent Abstract, AN 1995-171245.
- 12. Claims 12-17, 19-20, 23-24, 26, 32-33, 35-37 and 41-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Henkel KGaA, DE 4,337,041, (especially Beispiele, Tabelle 1, PIT-Emulsionen, and abstract) as evidenced by Derwent Abstract, AN 1995-171245, Rosano, US 4,146,499; with Hoppe et al, US 4,839,165, Unilever PLC, EP 560 516, and/or The Procter & Gamble Company (P & G), EP 616 027. Henkel KGaA and Schambil et al discloses microemulsions as set forth in the above rejection. Said basis is incorporated herein by reference.

The basis for said rejection is essentially as set forth above for the related Henkel KGaA, DE 4,318,171, and Derwent Abstract, AN 1995-014884.

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12-17, 19-20, 23-24, 26, 32-33, 35-37 and 41-47 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Metzmaier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1089. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

Art Unit: 1796

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David W. Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel S. Metzmaie Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796

DSM